



NORTHWESTERN
UNIVERSITY



Two by Two Table

Kevin Scharnhorst

A probability analysis using a 2x2 table to demonstrate understanding of concepts centered on Sensitivity (Sn), Specificity (Sp), Pretest Probability, Prevalence and Positive Predictive Values (PPV)

MMI 406 – Decision
Support Systems and
Health Care

Winter 2012

Northwestern University

Table of Contents

Problem Description.....	3
Definitions.....	3
Assumptions and Givens.....	3
Solution.....	3
2 x 2 Table.....	3
Interpreted results:.....	4
Formulas and Calculations.....	4
Works Cited.....	5

Problem Description

In a hypothetical elementary school class, 35% of the students are obese and 40% watch at least four hours of television each day. Twenty-five percent of the students are both obese and among the excessive television watchers.

Definitions (Hunink & Glasziou, 2009)

- **True Positive (TP)** – Probability of a positive test result given that the disease is present. Also known as the *Sensitivity*.
- **True Negative Rate (TN)** – Proportion of patients without the disease having a negative test result. Also known as the *Specificity*.
- **False Negative Rate (FN)** – Proportion of patients with disease who have a negative test result.
- **False Positive Rate (FP)** – Proportion of patients without the disease who have a positive test result.
- **Pretest probability** – Disease prevalence in population in a randomly chosen patient.

Assumptions and Givens

- The benchmark for “excessive television” → ≥ 4 hours per day
- Percentage with **Disease** is 35%, that is watched excessive television and were obese
- 40% watched excessive television (T+)
- TP is 25
- FN is 10 provided total diseased is 35% and TP is 25

Solution

2 x 2 Table

	Disease (35%)		No Disease (65)		100
+ (40%)	TP	25%	FP	15%	
- (60%)	FN	10%	TN	50%	
100%		Sensitivity (Sn)		$= 0.72 (TP / (TP + FN))$	
		Specificity (Sp)		$= 0.77 (TN / (TN + FP))$	

* **Green highlights** above indicate given variables.

Interpreted results:

FN (10%) = percentages of students who don't watch television excessively but are obese

FP (15%) = percentages of students who watch excessive television but are not obese

TN (50%) = percentages of students who are not obese and do not watch excessive television.

Formulas and Calculations

TP	= $P(C/D) = .72 \times 35 = 25$
FN	= $P(-C/D) = .28 \times 35 = 10$
TN	= $P(-C/-D) = .77 \times 65 = 50$
FP	= $P(C/-D) = .23 \times 65 = 15$

Test Efficiency	= $(S_n + S_p / 2) = (1.8 / 2) = 75\%$
Prevalence	= $.35 (TP + FN) / (TP + FN + FP + TN) = 35/100$

FNR	= $1 - S_n = 1 - .72 = .28$ or 28%
FPR	= $1 - S_p = 1 - .77 = .23$ or 23%

PLR	= $S_n / (1 - S_p) = 0.72 / 0.23 = 3$
NLR	= $1 - S_n / S_p = 0.72 / 0.77 = .9350$

Pre-Test Probability	= Prevalence = 35%
Pre-Test Odds	= $\text{Pre-Test Prob} / (1 - \text{Pre-Test Prob}) = 0.4 / 0.65 = 61.5\%$
Post-Test Odds	= $\text{Pre-test Odds} \times \text{Likelihood Ratio} = .615 \times 3 = 1.845$
Post-Test Probability	= $\text{Post-Test Odds} / (1 + \text{Post-Test odds}) = 1.845 / 2.845 = .6485$

If you were to randomly select a child from the class and find that they are among the group that watches television excessively, what is the probability that the child is also obese?

This is equal to the positive predictive value (PPV) or 62.5% and calculations for it are below. The negative predictive value has also been provided for reference.

PPV	= $TP / (TP + FP) = 25/40 = .625\%$ or 62.5%
------------	--

Works Cited

Hunink, M., & Glasziou, P. (2009). *Decision making in health and medicine: Integrating evidence and values*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.